

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/050,979	01/18/2002	Lou Chauvin	83304DF-P	9113
Milton S. Sales	7590 12/22/200	EXAMINER		
Patent Legal Staff			LIN, KENNY S	
Eastman Kodak Company 343 State Street			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Rochester, NY 14650-2201			2452	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/22/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of Panel Decision from Pre-Appeal Brief Review

Application/Control No.	Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination
10/050,979	CHAUVIN ET AL.
	Art Unit
Kenny S. Lin	2452

This is in response to the Pre-Appeal Brief Request for Review filed 3 October 2008

This is in response to the Fre-Appear	bilet Nequest for Neview filed 3 October 2000.
 Improper Request – The Freason(s): 	equest is improper and a conference will not be held for the following
☐ The request does not inc	not been filed concurrent with the Pre-Appeal Brief Request. ude reasons why a review is appropriate. s included with the Pre-Appeal Brief request.
	se continues to run from the receipt date of the Notice of Appeal or from mmunication, if no Notice of Appeal has been received.
held. The application remains und is required to submit an appeal b brief will be reset to be one month running from the receipt of the no	nt Appeals and Interferences – A Pre-Appeal Brief conference has been der appeal because there is at least one actual issue for appeal. Applicant ief in accordance with 37 CFR 41.37. The time period for filing an appeal in from mailing this decision, or the balance of the two-month time period tice of appeal, whichever is greater. Further, the time period for filing of the 7 CFR 1.136 based upon the mail date of this decision or the receipt date ible.
☐ The panel has determing Claim(s) allowed: 26, 29, 38 Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 22, 25, 27 Claim(s) withdrawn from contact of the contact o	<u>7, 28, 31-37, 39-43</u> .
	conference has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a Notice of ution on the merits remains closed. No further action is required by
	onference has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a new Office action is required by applicant at this time.
All participants:	
(1) <u>Kenny S. Lin</u> .	(3)
(2) <u>Bunjob Jaroenchonwanit</u> .	(4)
/Kenny S Lin/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2452	/Bunjob Jaroenchonwanit/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2456